

ORGANISATIONS OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER AND THE TRANSITION INTO MULTI-CULTURALISM

by Trevor Stewart

The elites of today, who descended from the ancient racial networks that were set up over 1500 years ago through Slavic emigrations into Central and Northern Europe around 400-700AD and England around 1300AD but probably before, have created multiple front organisations, over the centuries, to control the nations and peoples of the world. And as of the founding of the UN and NATO in 1945 and some of the organisations listed below, their new order and brave new world became a reality.

The New World Order, or NWO, is an actual concept turned into a reality with the construction of certain powerful organisations whose orders are to issue new forms of social and economic controls over the citizens and nations of the world. There are 3 branches to the NWO, but the organisations and groups, constructed to manage the new order, are many more. The 3 branches, each with their own descriptions are: (1) the One World Government: a single governmental body that will have major controls over all nations of the world, and all nations shall yield their national sovereignty and be subordinate to it. (2) the One World Army: the implementation of a single armed force to protect the world government and its institutions of control. (3) the One World Bank: a centralised and profiteering bank that provides non-interest free loans.

When does a concept become a reality? Here are, among others, the suspected operational branches of the NWO, that, over the centuries, were set up by the elites to manage people and nation: Freemasonry, set up in the late 16th and early 17th centuries; the Boasian School of Anthropology, set up in 1899; the Rhodes scholarship, set up in 1902; the Round Table, set up in 1909; the Federal Reserve, set up in 1913; the League of Nations, set up in 1920; the Royal Institute of international Affairs, set up in 1920; the Council on Foreign Relations, set up in 1921; the International Monetary Fund, set up in 1944; the United Nations, set up in 1945; the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, set up in 1945; the World Bank, set up in 1945; the World Health Organisation, set up in 1948; the Bilderberg Group, set up in 1954; the Club of Rome, set up in 1968; the Trilateral Commission, set up in 1973; the European Union, set up in 1993; the European Parliament, set up in 1993; the North American Free Trade Agreement, set up in 1994; the World Trade Organisation, set up in 1998. And to prove there is a global agenda, or at least a move into centralisation outwith Europe, we also

have the African Union, set up in 2002; the South America Union, set up in 2008. The Welfare State, that was set up in 1948, is another important tool; used for calming fears as the engineered collapse is in progress and millions of people lose their jobs and become unemployed. As is the police, and these intelligence agencies: NSA, DoD, CIA, FBI, CI5, MI5, and MI6.

The Boasian School of Anthropology

Standing alongside those organisations and elegant clubs of the NWO, is the social-engineering department of the United States educational system, anthropology, and the anthropologist Franz Boas. A professor in anthropology since 1899, he was lecturing on the new concept that argues: as opposed to heredity---the inheritance of non-mixed racial genes from one's ancestors to maintain one's intelligence---the environment is the important factor to the intellectual development, or IQ, of a race. This new concept came to be known as Environmentalism; but simply understood as Equality, and before its adoption by professor Boas in the social sciences scientist Charles Darwin's theory, "Darwinism", was the science of choice---Darwinism adheres to the belief that non-mixed genes from one's own ancestors is the most important factor to the intellectual development of a species, and not so much the environment.

The transition from Darwinism to Environmentalism, at the turn of the 20th century within the social sciences, alluded to some type of intellectual conflict. And while it definitely was a conflict, it was also a strange transition from the conquest and empire building the elites had previously been engaging in; with the elites conquering continent after continent and ruthlessly displacing millions of non-white peoples over the previous 3 hundreds years or so, and no more so because it was the true spirit of Darwinist theory that had actually won America for the whites race. And just to get you even more suspicious about the transition away from Darwinism, Environmentalism wasn't even slightly supported by any new discoveries within the scientific field of sociobiology---sociobiology is the scientific study of animal and human behaviour---that removed the importance of heredity.

Boas's actions clearly demonstrated that the elites---if Boas was representing them---had turned against the white race. And although some people, who I would consider as being controlled opposition, did query Boas's actions and integrity, it was never enough to reverse the situation. The researchers and activists who queried Boas's intentions: Lenz 1931; Liebman 1973; Rockwell 1960s, etc., had recognised this change within anthropology and concluded that Boas's war against Darwinism was primarily motivated by racial or religious reasons. However, whatever they were claiming caution should be advised if you believe them. Covering for their networking

comrades, the same researchers went on to claim that the “Jews were the driving-force behind the complete collapse of Darwinism” and the transition from Darwinism to Environmentalism had coincided with the influx of Jews into certain educational departments” within the United States. While they were blaming the Jews, they were brushing over the fact that, while indeed they were Jews, they were also white persons and, seen by their careers, elites. Rockwell, because he was a Northern European and gave his life for the cause, was different.

Back in the 1960s, Boas's Communist-driven ideologies that originate in Eastern Europe with Lamarck and Lysenko, were written about by certain pro-white Americans who were refuting his claims. In his controversial book, 'White Power', George Lincoln Rockwell had wrote: "Meanwhile, he [Boas] produced one book after another "proving" there were no such things as racial differences among men." So this was Boas, caught bang-to-rights by Rockwell, pushing Equality.

Like others had done before and after him, Rockwell had defined Boas as Jewish and was convinced that the Jews were involved in a conspiracy against the white race and controlled the US and other nations covertly from behind the scenes. And while he had written much on that, he failed to understand that there was more to Boas than just his Jewish religion; infact, Boas, as an elite, was merely an agent from the same Slavic network that the masonic senators and presidents, for example, Eisenhower, Johnston, and Nixon, were members of.

Although Boas has been identified as Jewish by many researchers, I still wouldn't accept that the push towards the NWO is masterminded solely by the Jews. Therefore, outwith Rockwell, those who accused Boas of conspiring against the white race were more than likely agents from that very same network that Boas himself was a member from. So the only true difference between Boas and the rest of the Slavic elites who controlled the US at that time, that those researchers suspiciously failed to touch upon, was that most of them were more than likely Catholics or Protestants and he was Jewish. So it was a Slavic network not a Jewish one.

If you avoid defining people by their religion and stick to their race or nationality, then “blaming the Jews”, more or less, must have been a kind of sophisticated war strategy that was designed to confuse and to label as racists any enemies who attempt to challenge the social-engineering programme and the attempts to bring about the NWO that is underway, or complete. An example of this tactic would be: If you, as Rockwell did, accuse Boas of being subversive, Boas and the rest of his nepotistic elites who control the System can dismiss you as an “anti-Semite”. It's a cleverly devised and successful tactic, and one that's crept around the white nations for well-over a thousand

years. No doubt if they get defeated, the Jewish part of their network are the scapegoats while the rest of them hope to escape.

After Rockwell had constructed the American Nazi Party to directly challenge the conspirators through the ballot box on a pro-white platform, he was shot and killed on 25/AUG/1967. There has been no political parties within the US fighting on a National Socialist platform since Rockwell, and he was the last serious threat to the NWO; Adolf Hitler (the Fuhrer) before him.

Rockwell was a genuine revolutionary leader. Had he survived, he may have been successful if the sub-European races supported him. He understood that by using the word "Nazi" in his political party's title wouldn't go down that well with the brainwashed voters within the US and elsewhere. But figured, eventually, posterity should vindicate him because his political enemies, who just like him, were certainly pro-white peoples who believed in and practised racial purity. Commander Rockwell's ideological enemies therefore, who were always on the left and always supporting multi-cultural societies even through their silence, just lied to the public and lied about him as they deployed their cultural and racial wrecking strategies against our peoples and nations with their borderless nations and immigration acts. In short, they wanted you to see Rockwell as a racist so you wouldn't support him. However, remember this, Rockwell was pro-white and waging war on behalf of the white race whom he loved; the elites, looking over their policies in the US over the last several decades, were promoting an anti-white agenda on behalf of themselves and done in the context of class war to bring about the NWO. The sub-European covert race war, no doubt raging for approximately 1500 years, had probably ended long before the Fuhrer, professor Boas, and Commander Rockwell had emerged in the 19th and 20th centuries. Possibly.

Rockwell blamed the Jews for the multi-cultural process, so he possibly, like many had done before and since him, missed the greater picture.

It's no coincidence the elites had shifted from promoting Darwinism within the US educational system to allowing full-scale mass-immigration into their nation; it was their intentions from the very start. And throughout that transition within the social sciences and later through the following decades, immigrants were relocating to the US in their millions. Although immigration was mainly about a workforce putting gold in the pockets of the upper class elites throughout the Industrial Revolution era (approx. 1750s-1850s) and beyond, eventually they began to use mass-immigration as a kind of weapon to use against the white race to bring about the collapse of their nations. About the same time as the tenements, later referred to as the Slums, were being built at the onset of the Industrial Revolution to house millions of factory workers, they were Slavitisng the

West through immigration from the East; and, later, from the non-white nations:

During the colonial period immigrants primarily came from Northern Europe; later from Eastern Europe; and later from South America, mainly Mexico.

- 1) The Colonial Period, 17th and 18th Centuries. Into America.
- 2) The Middle 19th Century. Into America.
- 3) The Early 20th Century. Into America.
- 4) Post-1965. Into America.
- 5) The Immigration Act, 1990. Into America.
- 6) Great Britain from 1945 onwards.

I conclude that Boas was involved in a psychological warfare programme directed against the white community through the academic promotion of “environmentalism” and “equality” within the education system in the US. And the reasons for this: Boas was programming the white-European race to view non-whites as equal to themselves so integration and the multi-culturalisation of the United States, which began in the 1950s, would run uninterrupted. And it was carried through on behalf of that ancient network that has controlled the US from its founding, as it does today.

Outwith Rockwell, who I believe was a Northern European, those middle and upper class authors and researchers who were calling Boas a Jew, did so for security reasons while he, as an elite, and an agent from the same network they were and are members of, plotted against the white race from within the education system and others from within the System itself. Each one of them were likely to have been controlled operators. So this is why nothing ever worked for the white race, as they frustratingly watched their nations being massively changed without their consent.

The United Nations

One of the organisations of the NWO is thought to be the United Nations. (UN) Founded in 1945, its headquarters are stationed in the US, Manhattan, New York City, and has been operational for some 70 years. Funded by the member states who founded its creation, it has 5 branches for control: 1) the Economic and Social Council; 2) the General Assembly; 3) the International Court of Justice; 4) the Security Council; 5) the Secretariat. According to the media and the promoted historical literature, the UN's primary reason for existing came after the League of Nations---that was founded in 1920 just after World War One, (WWI) 1914-1918---failed in its duty to avert conflict between nations that resulted in World War Two, (WWII) 1939-1945, therefore they constructed the UN and gave it much greater powers. What they don't say, of course, is that, along with their intelligence agencies, the UN was constructed to stop any potential would-be revolutionaries, challenging their networks in the future. More on that later.

The theory: that the elites were seeking to set up organisations to secretly rule the world through them, grew out of certain elites in the 1940s openly advocating for a new world order to better maintain the security of the world and to stop conflict between nations. And some of those elites, for example, Winston Churchill (Prime Minister of the United Kingdom from 1940 to 1945) used the phrase in several of their speeches. Around the same time, and similar to Churchill, Franklin D. *Roosevelt (President of the United States from 1933 to 1945) was also publically promoting a new world order.

High-ranking elites had talked about a new world order for decades, from, security advisor, Henry Kissinger to, ex-prime-minister, Gordon Brown, only they claim they are merely reactionaries responding to the ever-changing global, social and economic, environments. However, looking over their policies made over the last decades it's easy to be suspicious: forced integration, immigration, private banks, and the multi-cultural perspective emerging constantly from the mainstream media.

*---Many see Roosevelt as part of the Jewish network---the notorious "chosen people" who conspire to annihilate or displace the Europeans, or Aryans, and control the world from behind the scenes---but I see him as a Slavic-European person who called himself Jewish. If you exclude his religion, he was merely a white elite.---

What began as postulations from high-ranking elites within Britain and America led to the creation of the United Nations Charter in 1945 and the birth of the UN. Now armed with 5 branches to administer the international agenda and one of the legal machines of the NWO, the UN now had legal control over resolutions for war. It was created as a front for the hosts in the US to rule through, and through their Security Council they can declare legal war or interventions on any nation they so choose. The 5 permanent members on the UN's Security Council are: America, Russia, Britain, France, and China.

No doubt its construction fell under a blanket of propaganda that the average citizen could never fail to see as legitimate and a good thing. And while stopping wars between the nations was progressive and enlightened and after some 50 to 100 million people, mostly Europeans, were killed during WWII and the apparent failures of the League of Nations, it was the only right thing to do. The majority of the people had probably known very little about the UN and its true purpose, but there was always a group of watchers who linked the UN with the sinister moves towards white-founded nations losing their sovereignty and the much-feared One World Government with its One World Army. Among others, the American Christian right and the militia communities, as far back as the 1950s, were warning the people about those moves. And they were right. Today, not

only can the UN be used to build an international force to deploy against all enemies the elites so choose, quintessentially it was the principle reason for its construction.

Soon after the Fuhrer was defeated in 1945, that brought a close to WWII, the UN and NATO were constructed to preclude further or similar uprisings, especially within the US. Therefore the elites were making certain it couldn't happen again.

Because the global elites didn't move to stop the Fuhrer from raising his armies in the 1930s, it may be possible, even probable, that the elites actually enjoyed the Fuhrer's battles; the cull, with the confidence of their network well-entrenched. But obviously didn't want him succeeding. So the Fuhrer may have been guided into the disaster that turned out to be one of the deadliest wars known to civilians. It's estimated that some 50 to 100 million people, mostly civilians, were killed during WWII.

51 nations signed up to the United Nations Charter at its founding on 24 October 1945. Today, 2016, almost 200 nations have signed up. And as I write this, the legal and military machine of the NWO is securely in place right now and has been since the end of WWII. What we now have is the UN with legal powers to mobilise soldiers, called "blue hats", or "peace-keeping" troops, from the signed up member states; we have, under exceptional circumstances, NATO soldiers possibly finding themselves acting directly under UN authority and in conflict against pro-democratic and anti-corrupt government forces in the not too distant future. NWO security is in place.

The Rhodes Scholarship

Historical literature associates Cecil Rhodes, who was an elite member from the British nation, to secret society organisations and planning to construct a new social order or a new world order. And while he definitely did build towards that goal, for example, he founded the Society of the Elect and the Rhodes Scholarship groups, his ideas and the ideas of most of his fellow elites, may have been very different. In his will, written in 1877, he had written about his hopes to establish "British rule throughout the world" and how he wanted the "British to settle Africa, the Holy Land, and South America". So while it's correct that Rhodes did want a NWO, it was a pro-white British venture with his Imperial Parliament controlling most of the world. That didn't happen and his hopes towards white settlements were dashed when the elites withdrew from conquest and settlements. But had he survived, he, like many others, may have been disappointed with the way things turned out. Rhodes, who was a Freemason at the Apollo University Lodge, died aged 48 due to heart problems. But just before he died he founded the Rhodes Scholarship group. Constructed in 1902 and designed to bring like-minded individuals together, the Rhodes Scholarship members were based at Oxford University in England and there they would study for free. There, at Oxford, this elite group was attempting to build a network across

the great white powers, Britain, America, and Germany, and set about the construction of the new order that Rhodes and many others had believed in. With Rhodes out of the way, however, his fellow elites then turned against the white peoples and went on to construct several organisations and groups that would bring massive controls over them.

The Round Table

Alfred Milner was a British politician and one of the trustees of the Rhodes Scholarship group. It was he along with another British official called Lionel Curtis, who set up the Round Table movement at a conference held in Wales, Britain, in 1909. The elites were moving forward with their new concept on social and economic control, and the creation of the Round Table movement adhered to the exact same concept---building a network or special relationship with the United States and the British colonies---that had founded the Rhodes Scholarship group. Although they remained committed to Rhodes's concept on the British Empire controlling all nations through her centralised Imperial Parliament, at least until the rise of the US as a super-power, the British elites altered their original concept and opted for the Commonwealth of Nations system instead. The Commonwealth was founded in the mid-20th century that had coincided with the end to the British empire, decolonisation, integration, and immigration.

Chatham House

11 years after the British elites had set up the Round Table movement, they set up the Royal Institute of International Affairs also known as Chatham House. The elites held their first private meeting on the 5th July, 1920, but the organisation's origins are to be found at the Paris Peace Conference held on the 30 May, 1919, and was then known as the British Institute of International Affairs. One of the British elites who founded the movement was Lionel Curtis, the same Curtis who was also a member of the Rhodes Scholarship group and a member from the Round Table movement. Chatham House is ranked as the second most powerful "think tank" in the world; one of its presidents, John Major, was the British ex-prime minister.

The Council on Foreign Relations

Founded by elite figures within the US soon after the peace talks in Paris concluded in 1919, the Council on Foreign Relations was founded in 1921 and had emerged from the Institute of International Affairs that the British elites had founded. Although it was founded in 1921, its origins can be traced to another elitist meeting that was held in New York in 1918 and headed by Elihu Root who served under President Theodore Roosevelt of the United States as the Secretary of State during that time.

The Bilderberg Group

The next important group that was created after the Council on Foreign Relations, was the elegantly named Bilderberg Group. It was founded apparently through Rockefeller and Rothschild money in 1954, and was named after the hotel where some of the elites held their first private meeting. One of Bilderberg's founding members, Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, hailed from the same House of Orange that had produced King William III of England who had defeated James II of England during the Glorious Revolution in 1688. The Bilderberg Group is promoted as a discussion group or "think tank" by mainstream media, but calling them Dictatorship Strategists securing their grip over the democratic freeworld, whose members, more than likely, are all freemasons, doesn't fall so gently on the ears of the public. Bilderberg members hail from the highest positions within high-ranking elitist circles: politics, finance, academia, media, science, intelligence, etc, and they hold court yearly to discuss policy.

Bilderbergers are considered to be the "secret government of the world" by ex-navy intelligence officer Milton Cooper. Cooper, an American, who claims to have had Q-clearance on US black projects, was possibly assassinated in November 2001 due to his activism surrounding the attacks on the World Trade Centre complex and holding lectures based on top-secret files---project: MAGIC; REDLIGHT; PLATO; POUNCE; GRUDGE; AQUARIUS; BLUEBOOK; MAJESTIC, and MAJORITY---he had access to and claims to have seen while in navy intelligence that reported the recovery of crashed "alien discs". He claimed that Operation MAJORITY contained the blueprints for the NWO. (Cooper is an important historical figure within the anti-corrupt government movements in the US.)

The Club of Rome

The Club of Rome is another organisation apparently concerned with solving the world's problems and protecting the environment, and also supports the NWO. It was founded in 1968 by Aurelio Peccei and Alexander King in Rome, Italy, and both members and group are identical to the organisations previously highlighted. One of the Club of Rome's first publications, the 'Limits to Growth', first published in 1972, was an environmental book arguing that "economic growth could not continue indefinitely because of the limited availability of natural resources, particularly oil." So no salutes for guessing what the elites back in 1968 were pushing towards.

The Trilateral Commission

The Trilateral Commission was founded in July 1973 by David Rockefeller, who is one of the big names thrown around in the political underworld. However, some researchers allude to its creation in the 1920s. According to their public relations spokespersons, they are primarily concerned with "promoting closer ties between North America, Western

Europe, and Japan." James Carter, who was the President of the United States from 1977-1981, was a Trilateral member when he became President in 1977. In 1994 Carter, along with Ronald Reagan (United States President from 1981-1989) supported the ban on semi-automatic assault weapons. They have made arguments for why they did that, of course, but, because the political culture in the US is to ban guns; critical to preclude revolutionary activity in the future, their motives appear suspicious. However, regardless to their motives, try as they may, they failed to convince the Americans that to give up their guns is the right thing to do. Infact, looking at the following evidence you can understand why Americans don't want to abandon their Second Amendment right: the elite-controlled government had infact forced integration and mass-immigration on white America from the 1950s onwards, and, today, researchers calculate that white people will be the minority by 2065. Just a little bit more evidence to support the obvious conclusion of the sinister hypothesis that I propose: while the elites were pretending to be multi-cultural leftists and were forcibly multi-culturalising the US, the Senate remained majority white. That also holds true for the European Parliament and the European sub-parliaments. The multi-cultural ideal, therefore, wasn't for the elites.

Freemasonry

Difficult to ascertain precisely when Freemasonry, or Masonry, was first constructed, but historians believe that the first evidence comes from the minutes of a meeting held at a lodge based in Edinburgh, Scotland, that shows a continuity from a lodge in 1598. This is thought to be the oldest Masonic Lodge in the world. The first lodge in England was called the Grand Lodge of London and Westminster. However, the name was later changed to the Grand Lodge of England. It was founded on 24/06/1717 when four existing London lodges held a collective meeting. The Grand Lodge of Ireland was founded in 1725; the Grand Lodge of Scotland was founded in 1736; the first known lodges in the United States were constructed in Pennsylvania in 1715, and, interestingly enough, we have evidence for an elitist network that reaches across the Atlantic: when the Premier Grand Lodge in England appointed a Provincial Grand Master for North American in 1731. And, suspiciously so, 4.5 decades later, King George III and his British elites were at war with the American elites, Washington and Jefferson, etc., during the revolution in 1776.

During the American revolution in 1776-1783, commanders on both sides of the battlefield were Slavic freemasons who trace their ancestry back to Eastern Europe via Britain. We have an identical situation during the American civil war in 1861-1865. I suspect that most, but probably all, of the commanders fighting during the civil war, for example, the northern commander, General Ulysses S. Grant; the southern commander, General Robert E. Lee, were Slavic freemasons. And because they assumed Northern

European names and surnames, people may have identified them as non-Slavic. This also holds true for the American presidents. Outwith *President John F. Kennedy, who was assassinated in 1963, and President Barack Obama, who became the first African-American president of the US, all 42 American presidents, from George Washington to George W. Bush, must have been freemasons and part of that *elite Slavic network that founded the US.

*---The ancient racial network that has endured for possibly even more than 2 thousand years, and whose racial members descended from the ancient Cro-magnon species that had entered Europe around 40.000 (BC) years ago---

*---Kennedy was possibly assassinated by the Slavic elites due to his politics and him not connected to the network that controls the US. JFK's brother, Robert, also---

Although the freemasons have branches all over the world and is promoted, more or less, by the mainstream and controlled media as respectable, the public has long come to view them with the same suspicion they view all secret society organisations. There are many names to describe the secret rulers and the organisations that dwell in the shadows of power: amongst others, Illuminati; Freemasonry; Bilderbergers, however, regardless to who you think they are or what you call them, they are the elites whose ancestors emerged before 2.000BC and created a network that, before Freemasonry was even thought of in the 16th and 17th centuries, had possibly already captured control over most, or possibly even all, of the nations within Europe.

The Transition

Among other nations not included, the following evidence shows how the white-European elites had transitioned from colonising non-white nations and almost displacing the native peoples to granting non-white emigration into white nations.

Evidence that the elites of old were hostile towards non-whites:

(1) the African slave trade began in 1662; (2) from 1788 onwards, certain elites authorised the settlement of Australia that eventually led to the native Aborigines almost becoming displaced; (3) from the 1900s onwards, they followed a similar policy in North America that also led to the native non-white peoples losing their lands and eventually almost becoming displaced.

Evidence for the transition into supporting non-whites and to supporting them:

(1) the elites abolished the African slave trade in 1807; (2) they turned away from fully conquering and settling Africa; (3) they ignored expansion into South America; (4) they pulled out of India; (5) and after the British Empire started to be phased out around the mid-

20th century, they began to multi-culturalise, excluding Eastern Europe, many of the European-founded nations and states within Europe, Australia, and North America.

Clearly, then, and based on the above facts, the European hierarchy had ceased their hostile intentions towards the non-white world and turned them towards the white one. Due to this agenda, the effects on the white nations were devastating. What we next witnessed were whole sectors of white settlements incrementally delivered over to the non-whites, from California to London, and this led to many of the problems associated to immigration. And while the immigrants marched into the white cities over the past 5 decades or so and the films became, but not behind the scenes, multi-cultural, the media have supported this position as if it were normal and all above board. It should be remembered that the people did not have a choice. However, regardless to that, the concept was naturally rejected.

Controllers During Transition

During the invasion or the colonisation of Australia, South Africa, and North America, British imperialism was spearheaded by the following elites who were the British prime ministers during those times: (1) William Pitt, 'the Younger'. Pitt held office from 1783-1881 during the invasion of Australia in 1788; (2) Benjamin Disraeli. Disraeli held office from 1874-1880 when the British Empire was expanded to include, among others, South Africa and Egypt. During his reign he oversaw the Indian famine in 1876-1878, the Second Anglo-Afghan war in 1877-1888, and the famous Zulu wars where the Zulus, who were fighting under their king, King Catawayo, defeated a regiment from the British army. Incidentally, Rhodes, who was born in 1853, was alive and in his 30s when a British army, under the control of high-born commanders, won the famous battle at Rorke's Drift in 1879, but lost the battle at Isandawana in 1887.

The clearances and wars against the native American Indians took place from around the 17th century to the 20th century. And just to give an idea of what the American elites---who had emigrated mainly from Britain or their ancestors had, and were all very possibly freemasons---were engaging in throughout those times, Andrew Jackson was the President from 1829-1837 when the elites in Congress issued the Indian Removal Act in 1830. It was a brutal document and it led to some of the North American Indians being forcibly removed from their homelands, and through the long marches west, known as the Trail of Tears, were apparently ethnically cleansed. This anti-white agenda was now unfolding under the "manifest destiny" or the "coast to shining coast" (Atlantic ocean to the Pacific ocean) belief that was being promoted by the elites in the United States during those times. And not only was it explicitly anti-white, it represented Darwin's Natural Selection theory precisely and means: it was the ultimate destiny of the white-European race to expand their settlements westwards and to conquer and secure living space for their peoples.

The organisations highlighted in this article, from Freemasonry to the United Nations, have been identified as the control network of the NWO by many researchers. And while activists and authors have spoken plenty on those institutions, Boas's operations within the education system drew little attention. So I conclude that Boas, back around the 1900s, was promoting certain abstract ideals: "equality", "universalism", and "environmentalism", and inventing scientific explanations to displace Darwinism not as a genuine representation of his own personal in-built beliefs or fleeting with attaining some kind of idealistic perfection, but to programme the minds of the students and the wider community into seeing non-whites as equal to themselves so the new multi-cultural and idealistic society, that the elites were reaching towards, would run uninterrupted. Needless to say, outwith controlled media and the System, it was regarded as a major blow to white America and left a great amount of bitterness behind. In addition to the forced integration policy, non-white immigration into white communities without the latter's consent led to the white people, more or less, retreating from the mixed zones into their own white strongholds in other parts of their nation. And while this phenomena became known as "white flight", it certainly had set the scene for future racial conflicts. That also applies to all white nations.

Although immigration is one of the crucial weapons deployed by the elites against their enemies in the United States and elsewhere, it wasn't so much about multi-racialising in the early days of the nation's growth; even though that did happen through immigration from the Slavic East, it was more about the elites increasing their fortunes through cheap workforces. However, in the end, after they had constructed multiple secret society-type organisations for social control, they set about engineering the collapse of the nations through open and covert immigration policies that led to legal and illegal immigration in the millions and left white America and parts of Europe sensing they are being displaced. And just to address the liberal-left ideals and the "melting-pot" ideology that the elites have promoted for half a century and failed to live up to, they were a great lie and a front for a war strategy.

This was the road to the New World Order.

